

Chapter 5

On Hamming and b -symbol

Distances of Constacyclic Codes of

Length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R}

5.1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_{p^m} be the finite field of order p^m , where p is an odd prime, and m is a positive integers such that $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ holds. Here, we determine the Hamming and b -symbol distances for all Λ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} for any non-square unit Λ and positive integer s , and use this distance distribution to provide several codes with new parameters. As an application, we identify all the MDS codes among such codes with respect to the Hamming and b -symbol distances.

The rest of the Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 5.2, we obtain the Hamming distances of all constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} and provide some ex-

amples. In Section 5.3, we identify all MDS constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} with respect to the Hamming distance. In Section 5.4, we determine the b -symbol distances for all b -symbol constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . And in Section 5.5, we determine all MDS b -symbol constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} .

5.2 Hamming Distance of Codes over \mathfrak{R}

In [3], Dinh et al. determined the structures of all Λ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} for $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, where Λ is a non-square unit of \mathfrak{R} as follows

Theorem 5.2.1. [3] *Let $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{R}$ be a non-square unit of \mathfrak{R} .*

1. *If Λ is of form $(\Phi + u\Psi)$, then the ring $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi} = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi + u\Psi) \rangle}$ is a finite chain ring having maximal ideal $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0) \rangle$, where $\Phi_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, satisfying $\Phi = \Phi_0^{p^s}$. Also, in $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi}$, we have $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^{p^s} \rangle = \langle u \rangle$. Therefore, $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} are the ideals $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle$, $0 \leq j \leq 2p^s$ of the ring $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi} = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi + u\Psi) \rangle}$. Also, the number of codewords is $|C| = p^{4m(2p^s - j)}$.*
2. *If Λ is a unit of form Ω , then the ring $\mathfrak{R}_\Omega = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ is a local finite non chain ring with the non principal maximal ideal $\langle u, x^4 - \Omega_0 \rangle$, where $\Omega_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ satisfying $\Omega = \Omega_0^{p^s}$. Therefore, Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} are the ideals of the ring $\mathfrak{R}_\Omega = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$, and are given by:*
 - *Type 1: $\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle 1 \rangle$, which are trivial ideals. The number of codewords are 1 and p^{8mp^s} respectively.*
 - *Type 2: $\langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$, where $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$ i.e., Type 2 consists non-monic polynomial generated by principal ideals. Also, the number of codewords is $|C| = p^{4m(p^s - j)}$.*

- *Type 3:* $\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$, where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$, and either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ or 0 i.e., Type 3 consists monic polynomial generated by principal ideals. Also, the number of codewords is $|C| = p^{8m(p^s-j)}$, when $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$ and

$$|C| = \begin{cases} p^{8m(p^s-j)}, & \text{If } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p^s+\ell}{2} \\ p^{4m(p^s-\ell)}, & \text{If } \frac{p^s+\ell}{2} < j \leq p^s - 1, \end{cases}$$

when $\mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0$.

- *Type 4:* $\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x), u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is the same as in Type 3, $\deg(\mathfrak{S}) \leq \zeta - \ell - 1$, $\zeta < \chi$, and χ is the smallest possible integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$; i.e., $\chi = j$, if $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$, otherwise $\chi = \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}$ i.e. non-principal ideals. Also, the number of codewords is $|C| = p^{4m(2p^s-j-\zeta)}$.

Proposition 5.2.2. [3] Let χ be the smallest integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$. Then

$$\chi = \begin{cases} j, & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(x) = 0 \\ \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

In [1, 4], the Hamming distances of all λ -constacyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} of length $4p^s$, where λ is a non-square unit and $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, are completely determined. We list this result in its simple form as follows:

Theorem 5.2.3. [1, 4] Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Phi \rangle}$, for $0 \leq j \leq p^s$, then the

Hamming distance distribution $d_H(C)$ is completely given by

$$d_H(C) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = 0 \\ (\wp + 1)p^\sigma, & \text{if } p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ & +1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ 0, & \text{if } j = p^s \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

When $\Lambda = \Phi + u\Psi$, then the Hamming distance distribution $d_H(C)$ of $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} is completely determined as follows:

Theorem 5.2.4. *Let $C \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi} = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi+u\Psi) \rangle}$, then $C = \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle$, for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2p^s\}$. Then the Hamming distance distribution $d_H(C)$ is given by*

$$d_H(C) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq p^s \\ (\wp + 1)p^\sigma, & \text{if } 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ & +1 \leq j \leq 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ 0, & \text{if } j = 2p^s \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Proof. We consider three cases.

Case 1: If $j = 0$ and $j = 2p^s$, then we have trivial constacyclic codes $\langle 1 \rangle$ and $\langle 0 \rangle$ having $d_H(C) = 1$ and 0, respectively.

Case 2: $1 \leq j \leq p^s$. The ring $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi}$ is a chain ring whose ideals are $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi} = \langle 1 \rangle \supseteq \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0) \rangle \supseteq \dots \supseteq \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^{p^s} \rangle \supseteq \dots \supseteq \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^{2p^s} \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$. So, clearly we have $u \in \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle$ and hence we have Hamming distance, $d_H(C) = 1$.

Case 3: $p^s + 1 \leq j \leq 2p^s - 1$. Then, we have $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle = \langle u(x^4 - \Phi_0)^{j-p^s} \rangle$. So, obviously the codewords of the code $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle$ in $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi}$ are exactly the same as the codewords of the code $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^{j-p^s} \rangle$ in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Phi \rangle}$ multiplied by u , having the same Hamming weights. Moreover, the codes $\langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^{j-p^s} \rangle$ of length $4p^s$ have Hamming distances determined in Theorem 5.2.3. \square

Now, we are going to determine the Hamming distance distribution for the rest of Λ -constacyclic codes, where $\Lambda = \Omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$. Obviously, we know that \mathbb{F}_{p^m} is a subring of \mathfrak{R} . From now, we will denote $d_H(C_F)$ as the Hamming distance of the code C over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} .

For each codeword $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1})$ over \mathfrak{R} , the polynomial representation of $c(x)$ is given by $c(x) = \tilde{a}(x) + u\tilde{b}(x)$, where $\tilde{a}(x), \tilde{b}(x)$ are polynomials over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} , with corresponding codewords $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = (\tilde{b}_0, \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n-1})$, respectively, over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . As $c_i = \tilde{a}_i + u\tilde{b}_i$, $c_i = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{a}_i = \tilde{b}_i = 0$, hence we can conclude that $\text{wt}_H(c(x)) \geq \max\{\text{wt}_H(\tilde{a}(x)), \text{wt}_H(\tilde{b}(x))\}$.

Now, we determine the Hamming distance for each type of Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ one by one.

Obviously, the trivial ideals $\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle 1 \rangle$ reside in Type 1, and hence, their Hamming distances are given by 0 and 1, respectively.

The Hamming distance of Type 2 Ω -constacyclic codes can be determined as follows:

Theorem 5.2.5. *Let $C = \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$, $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 2 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . Then, we have $d_H(C) = d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle_F)$, and $d_H(C)$*

is given by

$$d_H(C) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = 0 \\ (\wp + 1)p^\sigma, & \text{if } p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ & + 1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Proof. We consider the following two cases

Case 1: If $j = 0$, then trivially we have, $d_H(C) = 1$.

Case 2: If $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then for a Type 2 code $C = \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$, $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, the codewords of the code C are exactly same as the codewords of the Ω -constacyclic codes $\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$ in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ multiplied by u . Thus, we get $d_H(C) = d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle_F)$ and are given by Theorem 5.2.3. \square

Now, we discuss the Hamming distance of Type 3 Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$.

Theorem 5.2.6. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 3 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$ and either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ or 0. Then, we have $d_H(C) = d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F)$, where χ is the smallest integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$, which is given by*

$$\chi = \begin{cases} j, & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(x) = 0 \\ \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and is determined by

$$d_H(C) = (\wp + 1)p^\sigma,$$

where $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \chi \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Proof. Since χ is the smallest integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$, we have,

$$d_H(C) \leq d_H(\langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle) = d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F).$$

Now, let us take an arbitrary polynomial $c(x) \in C$. So, there exist two polynomials, $f_0(x)$ and $f_u(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_p^m such that

$$\begin{aligned} c(x) &= [f_0(x) + uf_u(x)][(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x)] \\ &= f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u[f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \\ &\quad + f_u(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider two cases as follows:

Case 1: When $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{wt}_H(c(x)) \\ &\geq \max \{ \text{wt}_H(f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j), \text{wt}_H(f_u(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j) \} \\ &\geq \text{wt}_H(f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j) \\ &\geq d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle_F), \\ &= d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: When $\mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{wt}_H(c(x)) \\
& \geq \text{wt}_H(u \cdot c(x)) \\
& = \text{wt}_H(uf_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j) \\
& \geq d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle_F), \\
& \geq d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle_F \subseteq \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F$. Hence, by combining both the cases, we get $d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F) \leq d_H(C)$, which implies that, $d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F) = d_H(C)$. \square

Next, we compute the Hamming distance of Type 4 Ω -constacyclic codes as follows:

Theorem 5.2.7. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x), u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 4 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is the same as given in Type 3, $\deg(\mathfrak{S}) \leq \zeta - \ell - 1$, $\zeta < \chi$, and χ is the smallest possible integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$; i.e., $\chi = j$, if $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$ and otherwise $\chi = \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}$. Then, we have $d_H(C) = d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F)$, and is given by*

$$d_H(C) = (\wp + 1)p^\sigma,$$

where $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \zeta \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Proof. Clearly, we have $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x), u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle \supseteq \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle \supseteq \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$, since $\zeta < \chi \leq j$. Thus, $d_H(C) \leq d_H(\langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle) = d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F)$. To prove that $d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F) \leq d_H(C)$, we take an arbitrary polynomial $c(x) \in C$ and proceed to show that $\text{wt}_H(c(x)) \geq d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F)$. Now,

there exist polynomials $f_0(x), f_u(x), g_0(x)$ and $g_u(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} such that

$$\begin{aligned}
c(x) &= [f_0(x) + uf_u(x)][(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x)] \\
&\quad + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta [g_0(x) + ug_u(x)] \\
&= f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u[f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \\
&\quad + f_u(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + g_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta] \\
&= f'_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta + u[f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \\
&\quad + g'_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta]
\end{aligned}$$

where $f'_0(x) = f_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^{j-\zeta} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, $g'_0(x) = f_u(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^{j-\zeta} + g_0(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{wt}_H(c(x)) &\geq \text{wt}_H(u \cdot c(x)) \\
&\geq \text{wt}_H(uf'_0(x)(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta) \\
&\geq d_H(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F)
\end{aligned}$$

□

We provide some examples of Λ -constacyclic codes with new parameters of length $4p^s$ over $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, where $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{R}^*$.

Example 5.2.8. We present some examples of $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic and Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, where $\Phi, \Psi, \Omega \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. In Table 6, we consider $p = 5, m = 3, s = 1$ and 2 and in Table 7, we compute examples by considering $p = 13, m = 1, s = 1$ and 2.

Table 6. Λ -constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{5^3} + u\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ of length $4p^s$

Chapter 5

n	Λ	$\langle g(x) \rangle$	$[n, M, d_H]$
20	$(2 + 4u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^2 \rangle$	$[20, 5^{96}, 1]$
20	$(3 + u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^8 \rangle$	$[20, 5^{24}, 5]$
20	3	$\langle u(x^4 - 3) \rangle$	$[20, 5^{48}, 2]$
20	2	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^2 + u \rangle$	$[20, 5^{72}, 3]$
20	2	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^4, u(x^4 - 2)^3 \rangle$	$[20, 5^{36}, 4]$
100	$(3 + 2u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^{49} \rangle$	$[100, 5^{12}, 25]$
100	2	$\langle u(x^4 - 2)^{23} \rangle$	$[100, 5^{24}, 20]$
100	3	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^3 \rangle$	$[100, 5^{528}, 4]$
100	3	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^{24} + u(x^4 - 3), u(x^4 - 3)^{21} \rangle$	$[100, 5^{60}, 10]$

Table 7. Λ -constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{13} + u\mathbb{F}_{13}$ of length $4p^s$

n	Λ	$\langle g(x) \rangle$	$[n, M, d_H]$
52	$(11 + 7u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 11)^{25} \rangle$	$[52, 13^4, 13]$
52	$(5 + 12u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 5)^2 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{96}, 1]$
52	8	$\langle u(x^4 - 8)^9 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{16}, 10]$
52	2	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^6 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{56}, 7]$
52	7	$\langle (x^4 - 7)^4 + u, u(x^4 - 7)^3 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{76}, 4]$
676	$(6 + 8u)$	$\langle 1 \rangle$	$[676, 13^{1352}, 1]$
676	2	$\langle u(x^4 - 2)^{168} \rangle$	$[676, 13^4, 156]$
676	8	$\langle (x^4 - 8)^{15} + u(x^4 - 8)^2 \rangle$	$[676, 13^{1232}, 16]$
676	11	$\langle (x^4 - 11)^{24}, u(x^4 - 11)^{10} \rangle$	$[676, 13^{5272}, 11]$

5.3 MDS Codes with respect to Hamming Distance

In [5], Norton et al. discussed the Singleton bound for finite chain ring \mathfrak{R} with respect to the Hamming distance $d_H(C)$, which is given as $|C| \leq |\mathfrak{R}|^{n-d_H(C)+1}$.

Corollary 5.3.1. *(Singleton Bound with respect to Hamming Distance)[5] Let C be a linear code of length n over \mathfrak{R} with Hamming distance $d_H(C)$. Then, the Singleton bound with respect to the Hamming distance $d_H(C)$ is given by $|C| \leq p^{2m(n-d_H(C)+1)}$.*

Definition 5.3.2. Let C be a linear code of length n over \mathfrak{R} . Then, C is said to be a maximum distance separable (MDS) code with respect to the Hamming distance if it attains the Singleton bound.

In this section, we explore all MDS codes of length $4p^s$. First, we consider the case when Λ is a unit of the form $\Lambda = (\Phi + u\Psi)$. We identify the MDS $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ as follows:

Theorem 5.3.3. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi + u\Psi) \rangle}$ be a $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . Then, the only MDS code for the Hamming distance is the ambient ring $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi + u\Psi} = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi + u\Psi) \rangle}$ itself.*

Proof. Let C be a $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . From Theorem 5.2.1, we have $|C| = p^{4m(2p^s - j)}$.

Case 1: When $0 \leq j \leq p^s$, then the Hamming distance is $d_H(C) = 1$ by Theorem 5.2.4. Then, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(2p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - 1 + 1)}$ i.e., $4p^s - 2j = 4p^s$ i.e., $j = 0$. Hence, $C = \langle 1 \rangle$ is an MDS $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} .

Case 2: When $2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq j \leq 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then the Hamming distance is $d_H(C) = (\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(2p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $4p^s - 2j = 4p^s - d_H(C) + 1$ i.e., $2j = d_H(C) - 1$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
j &\geq 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \\
&\geq 2p^{\sigma+1} - p + (\wp - 1) + 1 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 2(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - (\wp + 1) + (\wp - 1) + 1 \\
&\text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&= 2d_H(C) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } 2j \geq 2(2d_H(C) - 1) > d_H(C) - 1.$$

Thus, MDS code does not exist in this case.

Case 3: When $j = 2p^s$, then $C = \langle 0 \rangle$, and $d_H(C) = 0$. For C to be MDS we must have $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $1 = p^{2m(4p^s + 1)}$ i.e., $4p^s + 1 = 0$, which is a not true for any p and s . Thus, there is no MDS code in this case. \square

Next, we consider the case when Λ is a unit of the form $\Lambda = \Omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$. In this case, we identify the MDS codes for each type of Ω -constacyclic codes one by one. First, we consider the Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ of Type 1.

Theorem 5.3.4. *Let C be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 1 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , then the only MDS code is $\langle 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Case 1: If $C = \langle 0 \rangle$, then the Hamming distance is $d_H(C) = 0$. For C to be MDS we must have, $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $1 = p^{2m(4p^s + 1)}$ i.e., $4p^s + 1 = 0$, which is not true for any p and s .

Case 2: If $C = \langle 1 \rangle$, then $d_H(C) = 1$. For C to be MDS we must have $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{8mp^s} = p^{2m(4p^s - 1 + 1)}$, which is true for all p and s . Thus, the code C is MDS in this case. \square

Now we examine the MDS condition for Type 2 Ω -constacyclic codes.

Theorem 5.3.5. *Let $C = \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 2 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, then no MDS codes exist.*

Proof. Case 1: If $j = 0$, then $d_H(C) = 1$. For C to be MDS we must have $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{4mp^s} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{4mp^s} = p^{8mp^s}$, which is not true for any p, m , and s . Thus, C is not MDS for $j = 0$.

Case 2: If $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then we have Hamming distance $d_H = (\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is an MDS code if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $2j = d_H(C) - 2p^s - 1$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
j &\geq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \\
&\geq p^{\sigma+1} - p + (\wp - 1) + 1 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq (\wp + 1)p^\sigma - (\wp + 1) + (\wp - 1) + 1 \text{ (equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&= d_H(C) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2j &\geq 2(d_H(C) - 1) \\
&= 2(d_H(C) - p^s - 1) + 2p^s \\
&= (d_H(C) - 2p^s - 1) + d_H(C) + 2p^s - 1 \\
&> d_H(C) - 2p^s - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is no MDS code in this case. \square

Here, we consider the Ω -constacyclic codes of Type 3 to verify the MDS condition for these codes.

Theorem 5.3.6. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$, be a Ω -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$, and either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ or 0. Then, there is no MDS code.*

Proof. We consider two cases as follows:

Case 1: If $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$ and $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \chi \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then we have $d_H(C) = (\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{8m(p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $4j = d_H(C) - 1$ i.e., $4\chi = d_H(C) - 1$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi &\geq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \\
&\geq p^{\sigma+1} - p + (\wp - 1) + 1 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq (\wp + 1)p^\sigma - (\wp + 1) + (\wp - 1) + 1 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&= d_H(C) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $4\chi \geq 4(d_H(C) - 1) > d_H(C) - 1$. Thus, MDS code does not exist in this case.

Case 2: If $\Im(x) \neq 0$ and $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \chi \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, we have the following subcases:

Subcase 2.1: If $1 \leq j \leq \frac{p^s + \ell}{2}$, then $\chi = j$. Also, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{8m(p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $4j = d_H(C) - 1$ i.e., $4\chi = d_H(C) - 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi &\geq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \\
&\geq p^{\sigma+1} - p + (\wp - 1) + 1 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq (\wp + 1)p^\sigma - (\wp + 1) + (\wp - 1) + 1 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&= d_H(C) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $\chi \geq 4(d_H(C) - 1) > d_H(C) - 1$. Thus, MDS code does not exist in this case.

Subcase 2.2: If $\frac{p^s + \ell}{2} < j \leq p^s - 1$, then $\chi = p^s - j + \ell$. Also, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(p^s - \ell)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e., $2\ell = d_H(C) - 2p^s - 1$ i.e.,

$2p^s + 2\ell = d_H(C) - 1$ i.e., $2p^s - 2j + 2\ell = d_H(C) - 2j - 1$ i.e., $2\chi = d_H(C) - 2j - 1$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi &\geq 2(d_H(C) - 1) \\ &\geq 2(d_H(C) - 2j - 1) + 4j \\ &> d_H(C) - 2j - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is no MDS code in this case. \square

Finally, we explore the MDS Ω -constacyclic codes of Type 4.

Theorem 5.3.7. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x), u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle$, be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 4 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$, either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{(x^{4p^s} - \Omega)}$ or 0, $\deg(\mathfrak{S}) \leq \zeta - \ell - 1$, $\zeta < \chi$, and χ is the smallest possible integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$; i.e. $\chi = j$, if $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$, otherwise $\chi = \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}$. Then, there is no MDS code.*

Proof. If $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \zeta \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then Hamming distance is $d_H = (\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_H(C) + 1)}$ i.e.,

$p^{4m(2p^s-j-\zeta)} = p^{2m(4p^s-d_H(C)+1)}$ i.e., $2\zeta = d_H(C) - 2j - 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta &\geq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \\
&\geq p^{\sigma+1} - p + (\wp - 1) + 1 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq (\wp + 1)p^\sigma - (\wp + 1) + (\wp - 1) + 1 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&= d_H(C) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{So, } 2\zeta &\geq 2(d_H(C) - 2j - 1) + 4j \\
&> 2(d_H(C) - 2j - 1) \text{ (as } j > 0) \\
&> (d_H(C) - 2j - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, MDS code does not exist in this case. □

5.4 b -symbol Distance of C over \mathfrak{R}

Recently, in [2], Dinh et al. provided the b -symbol distance for $C = \langle (x^\eta - \Lambda_0)^j \rangle$, for $0 \leq j \leq p^s$, and $b \leq \eta$ over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} , where $(x^\eta - \Lambda_0)$ is irreducible. We are writing those results for $\eta = 4$ as follows:

Theorem 5.4.1. [2] Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Phi \rangle}$, for $0 \leq j \leq p^s$, then for $b \leq 4$,

the b -symbol distance distribution $d_b(C)$ is completely given by

$$d_b(C) = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } j = 0 \\ b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma, & \text{if } p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ & + 1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ 0, & \text{if } j = p^s \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

The statements and proofs of Theorems 5.2.4, 5.2.5, 5.2.6, 5.2.7 for Hamming distances work the same way for b -symbol distances, providing the b -symbol distances of all $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic codes and Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} .

Theorem 5.4.2. *Let $C \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_{\Phi+u\Psi} = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi+u\Psi) \rangle}$, then $C = \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2p^s\}$. Then the b -symbol distance distribution $d_b(C)$ is given by*

$$d_b(C) = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq p^s \\ b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma, & \text{if } 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ & + 1 \leq j \leq 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ 0, & \text{if } j = 2p^s \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Now, we determine the b -symbol distance for each type of Ω -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ in the following theorems.

Obviously, the trivial ideals $\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle 1 \rangle$ reside in Type 1, and hence, their b -symbol distances are given by 0 and b respectively.

The b -symbol distance of Type 2 Ω -constacyclic code can be determined as follows:

Theorem 5.4.3. *Let $C = \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$, $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 2 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . Then, we have $d_b(C) = d_b(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle_F)$, and $d_b(C)$ is given by*

$$d_b(C) = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } j = 0 \\ b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma, & \text{if } p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} \\ & +1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Now, we discuss the b -symbol distance of Type 3 Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$.

Theorem 5.4.4. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 3 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$ and either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ or 0. Then, we have $d_b(C) = d_b(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \rangle_F)$, where χ is the smallest integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$, which is given by*

$$\chi = \begin{cases} j, & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(x) = 0 \\ \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and is determined by

$$d_b(C) = b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma,$$

where $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \chi \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Next, we compute the b -symbol distance of Type 4 Ω -constacyclic codes as follows:

Theorem 5.4.5. Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x), u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle$ be a Ω -constacyclic code of Type 4 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is same as given in Type 3, $\deg(\mathfrak{S}) \leq \zeta - \ell - 1$, $\zeta < \chi$, and χ is the smallest possible integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$; i.e., $\chi = j$, if $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$ and otherwise $\chi = \min\{j, p^s - j + t\}$. Then, we have $d_b(C) = d_b(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F)$, and is given by

$$d_b(C) = b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma,$$

where $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \zeta \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, $1 \leq \wp \leq p - 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq s - 1$.

Example 5.4.6. We present some examples of b -symbol $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic and b -symbol Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, where $\Phi, \Psi, \Omega \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. In Table 8, we compute the b -symbol distances for $p = 5, m = 2, s = 1$ and 2 , and $b \leq 4$ and in Table 9, b -symbol distances have been computed by taking $p = 13, m = 1, s = 1$ and 2 , and $b \leq 4$. We have MDS b -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ denoted by (*) in Table 8 and 9.

Table 8. b -symbol Λ -constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{5^2} + u\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ of length $4p^s$

n	b	Λ	$\langle g(x) \rangle$	$[n, M, d_b]$
20	2	$(2 + 4u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^2 \rangle$	$[20, 5^{64}, 2]$
20	4	$(3 + u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^8 \rangle$	$[20, 5^{16}, 20]$
20	3	3	$\langle u(x^4 - 3) \rangle$	$[20, 5^{32}, 6]$
20	4	2	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^2 + u \rangle$	$[20, 5^{48}, 12]^*$
20	3	2	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^4, u(x^4 - 2)^3 \rangle$	$[20, 5^{24}, 12]$
100	3	$(3 + 2u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^{49} \rangle$	$[100, 5^8, 75]$
100	2	2	$\langle u(x^4 - 2)^{23} \rangle$	$[100, 5^{16}, 40]$
100	4	3	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^{24} \rangle$	$[100, 5^{16}, 100]^*$
100	4	3	$\langle (x^4 - 3)^{24} + u(x^4 - 3), u(x^4 - 3)^{21} \rangle$	$[100, 5^{40}, 40]$

Table 9. b -symbol Λ -constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{13} + u\mathbb{F}_{13}$ of length $4p^s$

n	b	Λ	$\langle g(x) \rangle$	$[n, M, d_b]$
52	2	$(11 + 7u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 11)^{25} \rangle$	$[52, 13^4, 26]$
52	4	$(5 + 12u)$	$\langle (x^4 - 5)^2 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{96}, 4]$
52	3	8	$\langle u(x^4 - 8)^9 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{16}, 30]$
52	4	2	$\langle (x^4 - 2)^6 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{56}, 28]^*$
52	2	7	$\langle (x^4 - 7)^4 + u, u(x^4 - 7)^3 \rangle$	$[52, 13^{76}, 8]$
676	4	$(6 + 8u)$	$\langle 1 \rangle$	$[676, 13^{1352}, 4]$
676	2	2	$\langle u(x^4 - 2)^{168} \rangle$	$[676, 13^4, 312]$
676	4	8	$\langle (x^4 - 8)^1 + u \rangle$	$[676, 13^{1344}, 8]^*$
676	2	11	$\langle (x^4 - 11)^{24}, u(x^4 - 11)^{10} \rangle$	$[676, 13^{1216}, 22]$

5.5 MDS Codes with respect to b -symbol Distance

Theorem 5.5.1. (*Singleton Bound with respect to b -symbol distance*)^[6] Let C be a linear b -symbol code of length n over \mathfrak{R} with b -symbol distance $d_b(C)$. Then, the Singleton bound with respect to the b -symbol distance $d_b(C)$ is given by $|C| \leq p^{2m(n-d_b(C)+b)}$.

Proof. Suppose that C is an $(n, M, d_b(C))$ b -symbol code with $b \leq d_b(C) \leq n$. After deleting the last $d_b(C) - b$ coordinates from all the codewords in C , we observe that any $d_b(C) - b$ consecutive coordinates contribute at most $d_b(C) - 1$ to the b -symbol distance. Thus, since C has b -symbol distance $d_b(C)$, so the resulting codewords of length $n - d_b(C) + b$ are still distinct. The conclusion follows from the fact that the maximum number of distinct codewords of length $n - d_b(C) + b$ over \mathfrak{R} is $p^{2m(n-d_b(C)+b)}$. \square

Definition 5.5.2. Let C be a b -symbol linear code of length n over \mathfrak{R} . Then, C is said to be an MDS b -symbol code with respect to the b -symbol distance if it attains the Singleton bound.

In this section, we explore all MDS b -symbol codes for $b \leq 4$ of length $4p^s$. First, we consider the case when Λ is a unit of the form $\Lambda = (\Phi + u\Psi)$. We identify the MDS b -symbol $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ as follows:

Theorem 5.5.3. Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Phi_0)^j \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi + u\Psi) \rangle}$ be a $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . Then, for $b \leq 4$, the only MDS b -symbol code is the ambient ring $\mathfrak{R}_{\Phi + u\Psi} = \frac{\mathfrak{R}[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - (\Phi + u\Psi) \rangle}$ itself.

Proof. Let C be a $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} . From Theorem 5.2.1, we have $|C| = p^{4m(2p^s - j)}$.

Case 1: When $0 \leq j \leq p^s$, then the b -symbol distance is $d_b(C) = b$ by Theorem 5.4.2. Then, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(2p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - b + b)}$ i.e., $4p^s - 2j = 4p^s$ i.e., $j = 0$. Hence, $C = \langle 1 \rangle$ is an MDS b -symbol $(\Phi + u\Psi)$ -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} .

Case 2: When $2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq j \leq 2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then the b -symbol distance is $d_b(C) = b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(2p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $4p^s - 2j = 4p^s - d_b(C) + b$ i.e.,

$2j = d_b(C) - b$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2j &\geq 2(2p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1) \\
&\geq 4p^{\sigma+1} - 2p + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 4(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - 2(\wp + 1) + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&\geq b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - b + 2 \text{ (equality when } b = 4)
\end{aligned}$$

So, $2j > (d_b(C) - b)$

Thus, MDS b -symbol code does not exist in this case.

Case 3: When $j = 2p^s$, then $C = \langle 0 \rangle$, and $d_b(C) = 0$. For C to be MDS we must have $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $1 = p^{2m(4p^s + b)}$ i.e., $4p^s + b = 0$, which is not true for any p and s . Thus, there is no MDS b -symbol code in this case. \square

Next, we consider the case when Λ is a unit of the form $\Lambda = \Omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$. In this case, we identify the MDS b -symbol codes for each type of Ω -constacyclic codes one by one. First, we consider the b -symbol Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ of Type 1.

Theorem 5.5.4. *Let C be a b -symbol Ω -constacyclic code of Type 1 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , then, for $b \leq 4$, the only MDS b -symbol code is $\langle 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. **Case 1:** If $C = \langle 0 \rangle$, then the b -symbol distance is $d_b(C) = 0$. For C to be MDS we must have, $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $1 = p^{2m(4p^s + b)}$ i.e., $4p^s + b = 0$, which is not true for any p and s .

Case 2: If $C = \langle 1 \rangle$, then $d_b(C) = b$. For C to be MDS we must have $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{8mp^s} = p^{2m(4p^s - b + b)}$, which is true for all p and s . Thus, the code C is MDS in this case. \square

Now we examine the MDS condition for Type 2 b -symbol Ω -constacyclic codes.

Theorem 5.5.5. *Let $C = \langle u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^j \rangle$ be a b -symbol Ω -constacyclic code of Type 2 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, then, for $b \leq 4$, no MDS b -symbol codes exist.*

Proof. Case 1: If $j = 0$, then $d_b(C) = b$. For C to be MDS we must have $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4mp^s} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4mp^s} = p^{8mp^s}$, which is not true for any p, m , and s . Thus, C is not MDS for $j = 0$.

Case 2: If $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq j \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then we have b -symbol distance $d_b(C) = b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is an MDS code if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $2j = d_b(C) - 2p^s - b$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
2j &\geq 2(p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1) \\
&\geq 2p^{\sigma+1} - 2p + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 4(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - 2p^s - 2(\wp + 1) + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&\geq d_b(C) - 2p^s - b + 2 \text{ (equality when } b = 4) \\
&> d_b(C) - 2p^s - b.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is no MDS b -symbol code in this case. □

Here, we consider the b -symbol Ω -constacyclic codes of Type 3 to verify the MDS condition for these codes.

Theorem 5.5.6. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$, be a b -symbol Ω -constacyclic code of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$, and either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a*

unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p^m[x]}{\langle x^{4p^s} - \Omega \rangle}$ or 0. Then, for $b \leq 4$, there are MDS b -symbol code C for which χ is such that any one of the following conditions holds true:

- If $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$
 - If $s = 1$, and $b = 4$ then $d_b(C) = b(\chi + 1)$ for $1 \leq \chi \leq p - 1$.
 - If $s \geq 2$, then
 1. $\chi = 1$, and $b = 4$ then $d_b(C) = 2b$,
 2. $\chi = p^s - 1$, and $b = 4$ then $d_b(C) = bp^s$.
- If $\mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0$
 - If $s = 1$, and $b = 4$ then $d_b(C) = b(\chi + 1)$ for $1 \leq \chi \leq p - 1$.
 - If $s \geq 2$, then
 1. $\chi = 1$, and $b = 4$ then $d_b(C) = 2b$,
 2. $\chi = p^s - 1$, $r = p^s - 2$, and $b = 4$ then $d_b(C) = bp^s$.

Proof. We consider two cases as follows:

Case 1: If $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$ and $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \chi \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then we have $d_b(C) = b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{8m(p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $4j = d_b(C) - b$ i.e., $4\chi = d_b(C) - b$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
4\chi &\geq 4(p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1) \\
&\geq 4(p^{\sigma+1} - p + (\wp - 1) + 1) \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 4(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - 4(\wp + 1) + 4(\wp - 1) + 4 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&\geq d_b(C) - b \text{ (equality when } b = 4)
\end{aligned}$$

So, C is an MDS b -symbol constacyclic code if and only if $s = 1$ and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = \wp$, $d_b(C) = 4(\wp + 1)$), or $\wp = 1$, $\sigma = 0$ and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = 1$, $d_b(C) = 2b$), or $\wp = p - 1$, $\sigma = s - 1$, and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = p^s - 1$, $d_b(C) = bp^s$).

Case 2: If $\mathfrak{S}(x) \neq 0$ and $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \chi \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, we have the following subcases:

Subcase 2.1: If $1 \leq j \leq \frac{p^s + \ell}{2}$, then $\chi = j$. Also, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{8m(p^s - j)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $4j = d_b(C) - b$ i.e., $4\chi = d_b(C) - b$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
4\chi &\geq 4(p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1) \\
&\geq 4p^{\sigma+1} - 4p + 4(\wp - 1) + 4 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 4(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - 4(\wp + 1) + 4(\wp - 1) + 4 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&= d_b(C) - b \text{ (equality when } b = 4)
\end{aligned}$$

So, C is an MDS b -symbol constacyclic code if and only if $s = 1$ and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = \wp$, $d_b(C) = 4(\wp + 1)$), or $\wp = 1$, $\sigma = 0$ and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = 1$,

$d_b(C) = 2b$), or $\wp = p - 1$, $\sigma = s - 1$, and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = p^s - 1$, $\ell = p^s - 2$, $d_b(C) = bp^s$).

Subcase 2.2: If $\frac{p^s + \ell}{2} < j \leq p^s - 1$, then $\chi = p^s - j + \ell$. Also, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(p^s - \ell)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $2\ell = d_b(C) - 2p^s - b$ i.e., $2p^s + 2\ell = d_b(C) - b$ i.e., $2p^s - 2j + 2\ell = d_b(C) - 2j - b$ i.e., $2\chi = d_b(C) - 2j - b$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\chi &\geq 2(p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1) \\
&\geq 2p^{\sigma+1} - 2p + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 4(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - 2p^s - 2(\wp + 1) + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&\geq d_b(C) - 2p^s - b + 2 \text{ (equality when } b = 4) \\
&\geq d_b(C) - 2(j + 1) - b + 2. \\
&\geq d_b(C) - 2j - b.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, C is an MDS b -symbol constacyclic code if and only if $\wp = p - 1$, $\sigma = s - 1$, and $b = 4$ (in such case, $j = p^s - 1$, $\ell = p^s - 2$, $d_b(C) = bp^s$). \square

Finally, we explore the MDS Ω -constacyclic codes of Type 4.

Theorem 5.5.7. *Let $C = \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x), u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle$, be a b -symbol Ω -constacyclic code of Type 4 of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} , where $1 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$, $0 \leq \ell < j$, either $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ is a unit in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{(x^{4p^s} - \Omega)}$ or 0, $\deg(\mathfrak{S}) \leq \zeta - \ell - 1$, $\zeta < \chi$, and χ is the smallest possible integer such that $u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\chi \in \langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^j + u(x^4 - \Omega_0)^\ell \mathfrak{S}(x) \rangle$; i.e. $\chi = j$, if $\mathfrak{S}(x) = 0$, otherwise $\chi = \min\{j, p^s - j + \ell\}$. Then, there is no MDS b -symbol code for $b \leq 4$.*

Proof. If $p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1 \leq \zeta \leq p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + \wp p^{s-\sigma-1}$, then b -symbol distance is $d_b(C) = b(\wp + 1)p^\sigma$. So, C is MDS if and only if $|C| = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $p^{4m(2p^s - j - \zeta)} = p^{2m(4p^s - d_b(C) + b)}$ i.e., $2\zeta = d_b(C) - 2j - b$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\zeta &\geq 2(p^s - p^{s-\sigma} + (\wp - 1)p^{s-\sigma-1} + 1) \\
&\geq 2p^{\sigma+1} - 2p + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \text{ (equality when } \sigma = s - 1) \\
&\geq 4(\wp + 1)p^\sigma - 2p^{\sigma+1} - 2(\wp + 1) + 2(\wp - 1) + 2 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } p - 1 = \wp) \\
&\geq d_b(C) - 2p^s - 2 \\
&\quad \text{(equality when } b = 4) \\
&\geq d_b(C) - 2j - b
\end{aligned}$$

So, when $\zeta = p^s - 1$, $j = p^s - 1$ and $b = 4$, then $d_b(C) = d_b(\langle (x^4 - \Omega_0)^\zeta \rangle_F) = 4p^s$. Also, we have $d_b(C) - 2j - b = 4p^s - 2(p^s - 1) + 4 = 2(p^s - 1) = 2\zeta$. Thus, equality holds for $\zeta = p^s - 1$.

However, $\zeta < \chi \leq p^s - 1$, which implies that $2\zeta > d_b(C) - 2j - b$.

Therefore, MDS b -symbol code does not exist in this case. \square

5.6 Conclusion

The multi-symbol distances of several classes of repeated-root constacyclic codes over the finite ring \mathfrak{R} are determined. Using various types of distance distributions, we explored some novel MDS code classes as an application. For any non-square unit Λ of \mathfrak{R} , the Hamming and b -symbol distances of all Λ -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} are completely determined. As examples, several good codes with new

parameters are provided. We also identify all MDS constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over \mathfrak{R} with respect to the Hamming distance as well as the b -symbol distance. Some non-trivial MDS b -symbol Type 3, Ω -constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ codes over \mathfrak{R} are constructed with respect to b -symbol distance for $b = 4$.

References

- [1] H. Q. Dinh, X. Wang, H. Liu, and S. Sriboonchitta, “On the Hamming distances of repeated-root constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$,” *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 342, no. 5, pp. 1456–1470, 2019.
- [2] H. Q. Dinh, X. Wang, and J. Sirisrisakulchai, “Optimal b -symbol constacyclic codes with respect to the Singleton bound,” *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 19, no. 08, p. 2050151, 2020.
- [3] H. Dinh, S Dhompongsa, and S Sriboonchitta, “On constacyclic codes of length $4p^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$,” *Discrete Math.*, vol. 340, pp. 832–849, 2017.
- [4] S. R. López-Permouth, H. Özadam, F. Özbudak, and S. Szabo, “Polycyclic codes over Galois rings with applications to repeated-root constacyclic codes,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 16–38, 2013.
- [5] G. H. Norton and A. Sălăgean, “On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring,” *Applicable algebra in engineering, communication and computing*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 489–506, 2000.
- [6] E. Yaakobi, J. Bruck, and P. H. Siegel, “Constructions and decoding of cyclic codes over b -symbol read channels,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1541–1551, 2016.