

Peer Review Policy

All the chapters in this book are peer-reviewed following the procedure outlined below.

Primary Evaluation: The editor conducted a preliminary evaluation of the submitted chapters and rejected those that contain significant scientific flaws or fall outside the scope of the book. The manuscripts were also screened for instances of plagiarism. At this stage, manuscripts with high similarity index were rejected. There was an opportunity for authors to revise and resubmit manuscripts with language issues, grammatical errors, and inadequate originality. Those that met the minimum requirements were forwarded to experts for review. The authors of rejected manuscripts at this stage are notified with the decision.

Double Blind Peer Review: Each chapter undergoes a thorough evaluation process and is reviewed by at least two specialists in the field of expertise by following the double-blind peer review process. Two to five reviewers were invited for each chapter based on their respective areas of expertise. The reviewers were tasked with evaluating the manuscript and composing a report by providing critiques on both, strength as well as weakness of the chapter. Reviewers were asked to advise the editor, whether to accept the submitted chapter or not. In addition, they were requested to provide suggestions or alterations to enhance the quality of the chapter. After receiving the insightful Review Report from at least 2 reviewers, the editor made the final decision regarding the manuscript's acceptance or revision or rejection and communicate it to the author, along with any recommendations made by the reviewers.